

## Charlottesville, VA

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## **Summary**

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Charlottesville's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Charlottesville's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it — Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Residents identified the facet of Community Engagement within the pillar of Community Characteristics as strong. The facet of Mobility also revealed above-average levels of resident participation. All other facets received ratings that were generally positive and similar to ratings in other communities. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas of community strength or areas that may merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Comm	nunity Characte	eristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	12	31	8	0	44	1	6	27	2	
General	1	5	1	0	3	0	0	2	1	
Safety	0	3	0	0	7	0	1	2	0	
Mobility	0	5	3	0	8	0	2	1	0	
Natural Environment	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	
Built Environment	0	3	2	0	7	0	0	2	0	
Economy	4	3	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	
Recreation and Wellness	1	6	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	
Education and Enrichment	3	1	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	
Community Engagement	3	2	0	0	7	1	2	8	1	

National Benchmark						
	Higher					
	Similar					
	Lower					

## The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%	Customer service	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%	Recommend Charlottesville	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%
	Overall quality of life	<b>↔</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	81%	Services provided by Charlottesville	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↔</b>	74%	Remain in Charlottesville	↔	↓	69%
General	Place to retire	rall appearance rall appearance rall quality of life race to retire race to retire reto raise children redouble retore re	Services provided by the Federal Government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	40%	Contacted Charlottesville employees	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	47%		
Ge	Place to raise children	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%								
	Place to live	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	87%								
	Neighborhood	1	$\leftrightarrow$									
	Overall image	↓ ↓	↓	59%							→ ↓	
	Overall feeling of safety	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	76%	Police	<b>1</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	66%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%
	Safe in neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	94%	Crime prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%	Did NOT report a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	79%
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%	Fire	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	1	1	51%
Saf					Fire prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%				
. [					Ambulance/EMS	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	94%				
					Emergency preparedness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%				
					Animal control	1	$\leftrightarrow$	75%				
	Traffic flow	$\leftrightarrow$	1	29%	Traffic enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	50%
	Travel by car	1	$\leftrightarrow$	51%	Street repair	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	49%	Walked or biked instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b> ↑	81%
Mobility	Travel by bicycle	Ţ	<b>1</b>	33%	Street cleaning	1	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Used public transportation instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	1	45%
₽ I	Ease of walking	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	62%	Street lighting	e	$\leftrightarrow$	48%	3			
_	Travel by public transportation	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	39%	Snow removal	1	$\leftrightarrow$	62%		<ul> <li>↔</li> <li>↔</li> <li>↔</li> <li>↔</li> <li>↔</li> <li>↔</li> <li></li> <li><td></td><td></td></li></ul>		
	Overall ease travel	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Sidewalk maintenance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	49%				
	Public parking	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b> 1	21%	Traffic signal timing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	46%				
	Paths and walking trails	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%	Bus or transit services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	55%				
	Overall natural environment	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%	Garbage collection	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%	Recycled at home	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%
= T	Air quality	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%	Recycling	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	72%	Conserved water	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%
Natural Environment	Cleanliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%	Yard waste pick-up	1	$\leftrightarrow$	72%	Made home more energy efficient	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%
Na Vir					Drinking water	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%				
ᇤ					Natural areas preservation	1	$\leftrightarrow$	52%				
	New development in Charlottesville	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	51%	Sewer services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%
ment	Affordable quality housing	↓ ·	<b>\</b>	18%	Storm drainage	1	$\leftrightarrow$	51%	Did NOT observe a code violation	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	54%
20	Housing options		<u> </u>	30%	Power utility	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%				
2	Overall built environment	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↔</b>	57%	Utility billing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%				
Built Environment	Public places	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Land use, planning and zoning	1	$\leftrightarrow$	36%				
					Code enforcement	<b>1</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	38%				
					Cable television	1	$\leftrightarrow$	48%				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$ 

## The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%	Economic development	<b>1</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	48%	Economy will have positive impact on income	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	24%
	Shopping opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	1	69%					Purchased goods or services in Charlottesville	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	97%
Ę [	Employment opportunities	1	1	56%					Work in Charlottesville	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b> ↑	72%
2	Place to visit	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b> ↑	90%								
Economy	Cost of living	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	22%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b> ↑	77%								
	Place to work	1	$\leftrightarrow$	69%								
Ī	Business and services	1	$\leftrightarrow$	78%								
	Fitness opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%	City parks	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%	In very good to excellent health	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%
Recreation and Wellness	Recreational opportunities	1	$\leftrightarrow$	85%	Recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%	Used Charlottesville recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%
Š	Health care	<b>1</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	61%	Recreation programs	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%	Visited a City park	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%
n and	Food	1	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Health services	<b>1</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	71%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%
reatio	Mental health care	1	$\leftrightarrow$	44%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	88%
Şec	Health and wellness	$\leftrightarrow$	1	84%								
4	Preventive health services	<b>1</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	68%								
-	K-12 education	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%	Public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	92%	Used Charlottesville public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	59%
in an	Cultural/arts/music activities	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b> ↑	86%	Special events	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%	Attended a City-sponsored event	1	$\leftrightarrow$	64%
E E	Child care/preschool	1	1	38%					, i	i		
ig ig	Adult education	$\leftrightarrow$	<u> </u>	77%								
Education and Enrichment	Overall education and enrichment	$\leftrightarrow$	1	83%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	$\leftrightarrow$	<u> </u>	77%	Public information	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↔</b>	72%	Sense of community	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%
Ī	Opportunities to volunteer	$\leftrightarrow$	1	84%	Overall direction	<b>1</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	52%	Voted in local elections	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%
Community Engagement	Openness and acceptance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	55%	Value of services for taxes paid	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	80%
	Social events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	1	79%	Welcoming citizen involvement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	50%	Attended a local public meeting	1	<b>↔</b>	29%
	Neighborliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Confidence in City government	<b>1</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	47%	Watched a local public meeting	1	1	41%
unity !					Acting in the best interest of Charlottesville	1	$\leftrightarrow$	46%	Volunteered	$\leftrightarrow$	1	55%
[ ]					Being honest		$\leftrightarrow$	45%	Participated in a club		$\leftrightarrow$	35%
Con					Treating all residents fairly	1	1	39%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	<b>↔</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	33%
									Contacted Charlottesville elected officials	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	22%
									Read or watched local news	1	$\leftrightarrow$	76%
[									Done a favor for a neighbor	1	$\leftrightarrow$	72%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad *\quad \text{Not available}$